## "Cold War" Report —

## **DULLES WEIGHS HOPES, DANGERS** IN ANY "PEACE TALKS" WITH REDS

As Secretary of State Dulles takes the measure of the "cold war" now—

"Summit" meeting? U.S. is willing, but next move is up to Moscow. Action-not just words—is needed from Reds to show they are ready to talk terms.

Sputnik? It can backfire on Khrushchev.

Defense? U.S. must keep its guard up to outmatch threat of Communist imperialism.

Red China? Recognition is not ruled out forever. But it is not in prospect now.

In the following speech and answers to questions from newsmen, Mr. Dulles brings you up to the minute on U.S. foreign policy. 

Following are excerpts from an address by Secretary of State John Foster Dulles at the National Press Club in Washington, Jan. 16, 1958, as prepared for delivery and authorized for publication:

I shall speak first about Sputnik. The launching of an earth satellite by the Soviets may mark a decisive turn in the world-wide struggle between Communist imperialism and the free world.

No doubt the Communist rulers gained a success. They have an opportunity to gloat, an opportunity that they have not neglected. But Sputnik, mocking the American people with its "beep beep," may go down in history as Mr. Khrushchev's boomerang.

It jolted the American people and produced a reaction which was healthy, the kind of reaction that has, in the past, served freedom well. A wave of mortification, anger and fresh determination swept the country. Out of that mood is coming a more serious appraisal of the struggle in which we are engaged and an increasing willingness to make the kind of efforts and sacrifices needed to win that struggle.

It is, of course, essential that our nation should react in the right ways. If we act like a bull in the arena which puts down its head and blindly charges the matador's red cape, that could be our undoing. Our response must be a "heads up," not a "heads down" response. We must see clearly and think straight. We must appraise accurately the strength of our adversary and also his weaknesses. We must design our own strategy to parry his strength and to exploit his weak-

The assets of Communist imperialism are surely formidable. But there is no reason whatever for us to be discouraged or to think that those assets enable it to dominate the world. Communist imperialism has its weakness as well as its strength. For example:

(1) Even the most potent despotism is bound to pay some attention to the mounting demands of the people for more  $\sqrt{p}$ consumers' goods. The spectacular shifts which have occurred consumers' goods. The spectacular shifts which have occurred the gun being fired. It is vital that the newly independent in Soviet leadership over the last five years are not merely and newly developing countries should find in freedom to struggles for power but struggles between the adherents of

different policies. We need not exclude the possibili there coming into power those who will primarily see welfare of the Soviet people and not continue to keep impaled on the sickle of Communist imperialism.

(2) Minds that are fine enough to deal with me scientific and technical problems cannot be kept from co to independent conclusions about other matters. The gr within the Soviet Union of a new intelligentsia is bou affect Soviet policies.

(3) The leaders of the newly independent countries jealously to safeguard their independence. They know there are hundreds of millions within the Sino-Soviet who desperately need better living conditions, and that sequently the Communist rulers would not deny better at home and confer it abroad except to make major po gains. Therefore, the governments of the newly indepe countries are wary and look for safe alternatives to Co nist aid and trade.

(4) Perhaps the greatest weakness of the Soviet po is that it does not seem able to disengage itself from the tion of Germany and the suppression of the independen the nations of Eastern Europe.

I now speak of United States policies. They are pounded of confidence and realization of how formidal the resources of those who seek world domination.

United States peaceful policies, co-ordinated wit policies of dependable allies, have both a defensive a affirmative character.

(1) We will maintain a strong, balanced military I including enough ever-present and ever-alert reta power to deter Soviet aggression. The President's s the-union message made clear that need. There seem doubt that the Congress will respond.

(2) We propose to counter the economic threat. be harder to get the resources to do that. But, un wage successfully the political-economic war that being fought, Communist imperialism can win withou to lift up their own people. It is vital that the United

Appr 8 ved For Release 2000/08/27 : CIA-RDP78-00915R000600030041 - 7 WORLD REPORT, Jan. 249 an. 58,

## Approved For Release 2000/08/27 : CIA-RDP78-00915R000600030041-7

building, dependent on a plentiflow of mortgage money, declined ttle in December, has had only a ht gain from its low rate in first

f, 1957.

ong encouragement now exists to flow of money into mortgages ined by the Federal Housing Admination. Lending institutions can now n as much as 5 per cent on FHA rtgages, after allowing for the dismt at which they may be purchased I servicing costs. That is 1.35 perstage points more than the average ld of 3.65 per cent on outstanding porate bonds of top grade.

54, when home building was lifted a plentiful supply of credit on easy ms, lenders could earn a net of but 4 per cent on FHA mortgages, well as on mortgages guaranteed the Veterans' Administration. That is only 1.10 percentage points above e average yield of 2.90 per cent on p-grade corporate bonds in that year. resent, the response of lenders to e high yield on FHA mortgages is st beginning to be felt. Applications December for FHA mortgages on oposed new homes ran 75 per cent ead of a year earlier.

need, however, is for an even larger in if home building is to be lifted. l appraisal requests on new homes 1 to 3,501 in December, less than fifth of those in December, 1956. thority to guarantee home loans to erans of World War II expires July The rise in FHA loans has not

n offset the drop in VA loans. blem for home builders in months and is the time that must elapse bee lenders pour large additional as into FHA mortgages. In 1953about 5 months passed between time when mortgage yields became

active and the time when the flow mortgage money rose substantially. ance companies, for example, are

lending money to business under unitments made months ago and not be able to add much to their tgage purchases right away.

nercial banks find that they need buy more Government securities ore investing heavily in mortgages. ns have risen to more than half of osits, from 40, per cent in June, 3. Government securities have n to 29 per cent, from 36 in 1953. buyers are pulled one way by r terms on FHA loans, another by anxiety over layoffs, loss of time pay. Not all potential home TS will want the loans that lendvill be ready to make.

ospect is that buyers will feel uncertain in first half, 1958, the flow of mortgage money will ow to start. Later in the year, building should feel the spur of lant credit on easy terms.

